Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Antonio C. Joussef,^a Luiz Everson da Silva,^a Adailton J. Bortoluzzi^a and Sabine Foro^b*

^aDepartmento de Química–UFSC, 88040-900 Florianópolis, SC, Brazil, and ^bClemens–Schöpf-Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Petersenstrasse 22, D-64287 Darmstadt, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: foro@tu-darmstadt.de

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 193 KMean σ () = 0.000 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.055 wR factor = 0.161 Data-to-parameter ratio = 8.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

In the title compound, $C_{10}H_{10}N_4O_4$, the triazine ring is nearly planar. The 1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione ring exhibits a half-chair conformation. The molecule is disordered and the structure has been refined using a split model.

2,2-Dimethyl-5-[(1,2,4-triazin-3-ylamino)-

methylene]-1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione

Received 14 June 2005 Accepted 3 August 2005 Online 12 August 2005

Comment

Various derivatives of Meldrum's acid have been investigated by X-ray methods (Gould *et al.*, 1998; Blake *et al.*, 1997, Blake, Gould *et al.*, 1994; Blake & McNab, 1995; Blake, McNab & Morrow, 1994). As part of a continuing study of the 5methoxymethylene Meldrum's acid derivatives as potential antiviral, antitrypanosomal and leishmanicidal, we report the structure of the title compound, (I).



In (I), the 1,3-dioxane-4,6-dione ring exhibits a half-chair conformation and the triazine ring is nearly planar. The molecule is disordered and the structure has therefore been refined using a split model. Because of the disorder some of the bond lengths and angles are unusual. The disorder components with displacement ellipsoids are shown separately in Figs. 1 and 2. The total disordered molecular structure is shown in Fig. 3. Details of the intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds are given in Table 1.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the literature procedure of Cassis *et al.* (1985) and recrystallized from methanol.

Crystal data

$C_{10}H_{10}N_4O_4$	$D_m = ? { m Mg m}^{-3}$		
$M_r = 250.22$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation		
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 25		
a = 6.379 (5) Å	reflections		
b = 18.239 (5) Å	$\theta = 4.9 - 15.4^{\circ}$		
c = 10.101 (5) Å	$\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$		
$\beta = 107.331 \ (5)^{\circ}$	T = 193 (2) K		
$V = 1121.9 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colorless		
Z = 4	$0.50 \times 0.20 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$		
$D_x = 1.481 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$			

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

organic papers

Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 2028 measured reflections 1924 independent reflections 1191 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.033$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.055$ $wR(F^2) = 0.161$ S = 1.051924 reflections 230 parameters H-atom parameters constrained $\begin{array}{l} \theta_{\max} = 25.1^{\circ} \\ h = -7 \rightarrow 7 \\ k = -21 \rightarrow 0 \\ l = -12 \rightarrow 0 \\ 3 \text{ standard reflections} \\ \text{every 200 reflections} \\ \text{intensity decay: } 1\% \end{array}$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0592P)^{2} + 0.8549P]$ where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.40 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.27 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N7A - H7A \cdots O14A$	0.86	2.08	2.724 (5)	131
$N7B - H7B \cdots O10B$	0.86	2.13	2.777 (19)	132
$C4B - H4B \cdot \cdot \cdot O10A^{i}$	0.93	2.04	2.842 (14)	143
$C4B - H4B \cdot \cdot \cdot O10B^{i}$	0.93	1.90	2.802 (19)	162

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 2.

The structure is disordered and was refined with a split model. Refinement was performed with restraints for both components. The corresponding site-occupation factors were refined but later fixed to 0.80 and 0.20. All non-H atoms of the minor occupied component were refined isotropically and a common variable was used for the isotropic displacement factor of the ring N1*B*–C6*B*. The triazine ring is additionally disordered, such that atoms N2*A* and C4*A*, as well as N2*B* and C4*B*, occupy the same position. Therefore, these atoms were refined with site-occupation factors 0.5:0.5. All H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined with isotropic displacement parameters (set to 1.2 times U_{eq} of the parent atom) using a riding model with C–H = 0.93 Å (0.96 Å for methyl groups) and N–H = 0.86 Å.

Data collection: *CAD-4/PC Software* (Enraf–Nonius, 1993); cell refinement: *CAD-4/PC Software*; data reduction: *XCAD4* (Harms & Wocadlo, 1995); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

References

- Blake, A. J., Gould, R. O., Irving, I., McNab, H. & Morrow, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1935–1938.
- Blake, A. J. & McNab, H. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 527-529.
- Blake, A. J., McNab, H. & Morrow, M. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 1716-1717.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the major component (site-occupation factor = 0.80), with the atom labelling, and with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

The molecular structure of the minor component (site-occupation factor = 0.20), with displacement spheres drawn at the 50% probability level. (Please note: this fragment was refined isotropically.)



Figure 3

The disordered molecular structure of the title compound, with the atom labelling (the bonds of the minor component are drawn with dashed lines).

Blake, A. J., McNab, H., Parsons, S. & Withell, K. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1956–1958.

Cassis, R., Tapia, R. & Valderrama, J. A. (1985). Synth. Commun. 15, 125–128. Enraf–Nonius (1993). CAD-4/PC Software. Version 1.2. Enraf–Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

- Gould, R. O., Harris, S. G., McNab, H., Parsons, S. & Withell, K. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 234–236.
- Harms, K. & Wocadlo, S. (1995). XCAD4. University of Marburg, Germany. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of
- Göttingen, Germany.

Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.